# ANOMALY OF LINEARIZATION AND AUXILIARY INTEGRALS.

#### BORIS KRUGLIKOV

ABSTRACT. In this note we discuss some formal properties of universal linearization operator, relate this to brackets of non-linear differential operators and discuss application to the calculus of auxiliary integrals, used in compatibility reductions of PDEs.

## Introduction

Commutator  $[\Delta, \nabla]$  of linear differential operators  $\Delta, \nabla \in \text{Diff}(\pi, \pi)$  in the context of non-linear operators  $F, G \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  is up-graded to the higher Jacobi bracket  $\{F, G\}$ , which plays the same role in compatibility investigations and symmetry calculus.<sup>1</sup>

The linearization operator relates non-linear operators on a bundle  $\pi$  with linear operators on the same bundle, whose coefficients should be however smooth functions on the space of infinite jets. The latter space is the algebra of  $\mathscr{C}$ -differential operators and we get the map

$$\ell: \operatorname{diff}(\pi,\pi) \to \mathscr{C} \operatorname{Diff}(\pi,\pi) = C^{\infty}(J^{\infty}\pi) \otimes_{C^{\infty}(M)} \operatorname{Diff}(\pi,\pi),$$

defined by the formula [KLV]

$$\ell_F(s)h = \frac{d}{dt}F(s+th)|_{t=0}, \qquad F \in \text{diff}(\pi,\pi), \quad s,h \in C^{\infty}(\pi).$$

However it does not respect the commutator:

$$[\ell_F, \ell_G] \neq \ell_{\{F,G\}}.$$

**Example:** Consider the scalar differential operators on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that  $\pi = \mathbf{1}$  and  $J^{\infty}(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}(x, u, p = p_1, p_2, \dots)$ . Choose

$$F = p^2, G = p + c \cdot x; \quad \{F, G\} = 2c p \implies \ell_{\{F,G\}} = 2c \mathcal{D}_x.$$

If we commute  $\ell_F = 2p \mathcal{D}_x$  and  $\ell_G = \mathcal{D}_x$ , we get:  $[\ell_F, \ell_G] = -2p_2 \mathcal{D}_x$ , so that we observe an anomaly.

There are two reasons for this. The first is that the operator of linearization disregards non-homogeneous linear terms, which are important for the Jacobi bracket. The second is the non-linearity itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>MSC numbers: 35A27, 58A20; 58J70, 35A30.

Keywords: Linearization, evolutionary differentiation, compatibility, differential constraint, symmetry, reduction, Jacobi bracket, multi-bracket.

The goal of this note is to discuss reasons and consequences of this anomaly (this also plays a significant role in investigation of coverings and non-local calculus [KKV]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The results were obtained and systematized during the research stay in Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig, in April-May 2007.

#### 1. Anomaly via Hessian

The Jacobi bracket of non-linear operators  $F, G \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  is expressed via linearization as follows:

$$\{F,G\} = \ell_F G - \ell_G F.$$

We also consider the evolutionary operators defined by duality:

$$\partial_F G = \ell_G F$$
.

Since  $\ell_G$  is a derivation in G,  $\mathcal{O}_F$  is a derivation (satisfies the Leibniz rule) and their union can be treated as the module of vector fields. These operators have no anomaly, i.e. the map  $\mathcal{O}: C^{\infty}(J^{\infty}\pi) \to \mathrm{Vect}(J^{\infty}\pi)$  is an anti-homomorphism:

$$[\partial_F, \partial_G] = -\partial_{\{F,G\}}.$$

This instantly implies Jacobi identity for the bracket  $\{F, G\}$ , so that  $(\text{diff}(\pi, \pi), \{,\})$  is a Lie algebra [KLV].

The operators of universal linearization and evolutionary differentiation do not commute and this leads to the following

**Definition.** The Hessian operator  $\operatorname{diff}(\pi,\pi) \times \operatorname{diff}(\pi,\pi) \to \mathscr{C} \operatorname{Diff}(\pi,\pi)$  is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{Hess}_F G = [\partial_G, \ell_F].$$

We will also write  $\operatorname{Hess}_F(G, H) = \operatorname{Hess}_F G(H)$  for  $F, G, H \in \operatorname{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  and note that  $\operatorname{Hess}_F \equiv 0$  for linear operators F, because in this case  $\ell_F = F$ , which reduces the claim to the commutation of left and right multiplications.

Next we note that the Hessian  $\operatorname{Hess}_F$  is symmetric:

**Lemma 1.**  $\operatorname{Hess}_F(G,H) = \operatorname{Hess}_F(H,G)$ .

Indeed:

$$\operatorname{Hess}_F(G, H) = \partial_G \ell_F H - \ell_F \partial_G H = \partial_G \partial_H F - \ell_F \ell_H G,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{F}(G, H) - \operatorname{Hess}_{F}(H, G) = [\partial_{G}, \partial_{H}]F - \ell_{F}\{H, G\}$$
$$= -\partial_{\{G, H\}}F - \ell_{F}\{H, G\} = 0.$$

Now we can express the anomaly of linearization via the Hessian:

**Proposition 2.**  $[\ell_F, \ell_G] - \ell_{\{F,G\}} = \operatorname{Hess}_G F - \operatorname{Hess}_F G$ .

Indeed we have:

$$\begin{split} [\ell_F, \ell_G] H &= \ell_F \mathcal{J}_H G - \ell_G \mathcal{J}_H F \\ &= \mathcal{J}_H (\ell_F G - \ell_G F) - \operatorname{Hess}_F (H, G) + \operatorname{Hess}_G (H, F) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_H \{F, G\} - \operatorname{Hess}_F (G, H) + \operatorname{Hess}_G (F, H) \\ &= \ell_{\{F, G\}} H + (\operatorname{Hess}_G F - \operatorname{Hess}_F G) H. \end{split}$$

Finally let us express the Leibniz identity for non-linear operators and the Jacobi bracket. For linear operators it is well-known, but for non-linear ones there's an anomaly:

**Proposition 3.** 
$$\{F, \ell_G H\} = \ell_{\{F,G\}} H + \ell_G \{F, H\} - \text{Hess}_F (G, H).$$

This is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, \ell_G H\} &= \ell_F \ell_G H - \mathcal{O}_F \ell_G H \\ &= [\ell_F, \ell_G] H + \ell_G (\ell_F - \mathcal{O}_F) H - \operatorname{Hess}_G (F, H) \\ &= \ell_{\{F,G\}} H + \ell_G \{F, H\} - \operatorname{Hess}_F (G, H). \end{aligned}$$

#### 2. Coordinate expressions

A local coordinate system  $(x^i, u^j)$  on  $\pi$  induces the canonical coordinates  $(x^i, p^j_{\sigma})$  on the space  $J^{\infty}\pi$ , where  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is a multi-index of length  $|\sigma| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$ . The operator of total derivative of multi-order  $\sigma$  (and order  $|\sigma|$ ) is  $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{D}_1^{i_1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_n^{i_n}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_i = \partial_{x^i} + \sum p_{\tau+1_i}^j \partial_{p_{\tau}^j}$ .

The linearization of  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_r)$  is  $\ell_F = (\ell(F_1), \dots, \ell(F_r))$  with

$$\ell(F_i) = \sum_{j} (\partial_{p_{\sigma}^j} F_i) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}^{[j]},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}^{[j]}$  denotes the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}$  applied to the *j*-th component of the section from  $C^{\infty}(\pi)$ .

The *i*-th component of the evolutionary differentiation  $\mathcal{D}_G$  corresponding to  $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_n)$  equals

$$\partial_G^i = \sum (\mathcal{D}_{\sigma} G_j) \cdot \partial_{p_{\sigma}^j}^{[i]},$$

where  $\partial_{p^j_{\sigma}}^{[i]}$  denotes the operator  $\partial_{p^j_{\sigma}}$  applied to the *i*-th component of the section from  $C^{\infty}(\pi)$ .

Then i-th components of the Jacobi bracket is given by

$$\{F,G\}_i = \sum (\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(G_j) \cdot \partial_{p_{\sigma}^j} F_i - \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F_j) \cdot \partial_{p_{\sigma}^j} G_i).$$

These formulas are known [KLV]. It is instructive to demonstrate the Jacobi identity in coordinates. For this we need the following assertion.

**Lemma 4.** In canonical coordinates on  $J^{\infty}\pi$ :

$$\partial_{p_{\sigma}^{i}} \mathcal{D}_{\tau} = \sum \mathcal{D}_{\tau - \varkappa} \partial_{p_{\sigma - \varkappa}^{i}}$$

(the difference of multi-indices  $\sigma - \varkappa$  is defined whenever  $\varkappa \subset \sigma$ ), the summation is by  $\varkappa$  counted with multiplicity. More generally for vector differential operators if  $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}^{[j]}$  is the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}$  acting on the j-th component, then the above formula holds true for such specification.

This follows from iteration of the formula  $[\partial_{p_{\sigma}^{j}}, \mathcal{D}_{i}] = \partial_{p_{\sigma-1}^{j}}$ . Thus

$$\{F, \{G, H\}\} = \sum_{\sigma} F_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(G_{p_{\tau}}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau+\varkappa}(H) - F_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(H_{p_{\tau}}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau+\varkappa}(G) - G_{p_{\sigma}p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) + H_{p_{\sigma}p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(G) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) - (G_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(H_{p_{\tau-\varkappa}}) - H_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(G_{p_{\tau-\varkappa}})) \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(F),$$

which yields  $\sum_{\text{cyclic}} \{F, \{G, H\}\} = 0.$ 

Now we write the Hessian:

$$\operatorname{Hess}_F(G, H) = \sum F_{p_{\sigma}p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}G \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau}H,$$

and its symmetry in G, H and vanishing for linear F is obvious.

The compensated Leibniz formula can be written as follows:

$$\begin{split} \{F,\ell_G H\} - \ell_{\{F,G\}} H - \ell_G \{F,H\} = \\ \sum F_{p\sigma} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(G_{p\tau}) \, \mathcal{D}_{\tau+\varkappa}(H) - (G_{p\sigma p\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) + G_{p\tau} \partial_{p\sigma} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H)) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) \\ - (F_{p\sigma p\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(G) + F_{p\sigma} \partial_{p\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(G)) \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H) + (G_{p\sigma p\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) + G_{p\sigma} \partial_{p\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F)) \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H) \\ - G_{p\sigma} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(F_{p\tau}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau+\varkappa}(H) - \mathcal{D}_{\sigma-\varkappa}(H_{p\tau}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau+\varkappa}(F) \right) = - \operatorname{Hess}_F(G,H) \\ \text{and the anomaly in commuting linearizations is:} \end{split}$$

$$[\ell_{F}, \ell_{G}] - \ell_{\{F,G\}} = \sum_{F_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma - \varkappa}(G_{p_{\tau}}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau + \varkappa}(H) - G_{p_{\sigma}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma - \varkappa}(F_{p_{\tau}}) \mathcal{D}_{\tau + \varkappa}(H)$$

$$- (F_{p_{\sigma}p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(G) + F_{p_{\sigma}} \partial_{p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(G)) \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H) + (G_{p_{\sigma}p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F) + G_{p_{\sigma}} \partial_{p_{\tau}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(F)) \mathcal{D}_{\tau}(H)$$

$$= \operatorname{Hess}_{G}(F, H) - \operatorname{Hess}_{F}(G, H).$$

This gives an alternative proof of Propositions 3 and 2.

## 3. Auxiliary integrals

**Definition.** An operator  $G \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  is called an auxiliary integral for  $F \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  if

$$\{F,G\} = \ell_{\lambda}F + \ell_{\mu}G$$

for some operators  $\lambda \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  and  $\mu \notin \mathcal{C} \text{Diff}(\pi, \pi) \cdot F \setminus \{0\}$ . The set of such G is denoted by Aux(F).

It is better to denote  $\operatorname{Aux}_{\mu}(F)$  the space of G satisfying the above formula with some fixed  $\mu \in \operatorname{diff}(\pi,\pi)$ , because it is a vector space. Then  $\operatorname{Aux}(F) = \bigcup_{\mu} \operatorname{Aux}_{\mu}(F)$ . We can assume  $\operatorname{ord}(\mu) < \operatorname{ord}(F)$  for scalar operators, i.e.  $\operatorname{rank} \pi = 1$ .

With certain non-degeneracy condition for the symbols of F, G the following statement holds:

**Theorem 5.** A non-linear differential operator G is an auxiliary integral for another operator F iff the system F = 0, G = 0 is compatible (formally integrable).

The generic position condition for the symbols of F, G is essential. If  $\pi = \mathbf{1}$  is the trivial one-dimensional bundle, this condition is just the transversality of the characteristic varieties  $\operatorname{Char}^{\mathbb{C}}(F)$  and  $\operatorname{Char}^{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  in the bundle  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{C}}T^*M$  (after pull-back to the joint system F = G = 0 in jets); in this form it is a particular form of the statement proved in  $[\mathrm{KL}_2]$ . For rank  $\pi > 1$  the condition is more delicate and will be presented elsewhere.

Notice that  $\operatorname{Aux}_0(F) = \operatorname{Sym}(F)$  is the space of symmetries of F. This is a Lie algebra with respect to the Jacobi bracket. It can be represented as a union of spaces

$$Sym_{\theta}(F) = \{ H : \ell_F H = \ell_{\theta+H} F \}, \qquad \theta \in diff(\pi, \pi),$$

which are modules over  $\operatorname{Sym}_0(F)$ . More generally we have the graded group:  $\operatorname{Sym}_{\theta'}(F) + \operatorname{Sym}_{\theta''}(F) \subset \operatorname{Sym}_{\theta'+\theta''}(F)$ 

Let us assume  $G \in Aux_{\mu}(F)$ ,  $H \in Sym_{\theta}(F)$ , i.e.

$$\{F,G\} = \ell_{\lambda}F + \ell_{\mu}G, \qquad \{F,H\} = \ell_{\theta}F.$$

Then denoting  $\operatorname{ad}_H = \{H, \cdot\} = \ell_H - \mathcal{O}_H$  we get:

$$ad_{F}\{G, H\} = \{ad_{F} G, H\} + \{G, ad_{F} H\}$$

$$= -\{H, \ell_{\lambda} F + \ell_{\mu} G\} + \{G, \ell_{\theta} F\}$$

$$= \ell_{\{\lambda, H\}} F + \ell_{\lambda} \{F, H\} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H}(\lambda, F) + \ell_{\{\mu, H\}} G + \ell_{\mu} \{G, H\} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H}(\mu, G)$$

$$- \ell_{\{\theta, G\}} F - \ell_{\theta} \{F, G\} - \operatorname{Hess}_{G}(\theta, F)$$

$$= (\ell_{\{\lambda, H\}} + [\ell_{\lambda}, \ell_{\theta}] - \ell_{\{\theta, G\}} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H} \lambda - \operatorname{Hess}_{G} \theta) F + \ell_{\mu} \{G, H\}$$

$$+ (\ell_{\{\mu, H\}} - \ell_{\theta} \ell_{\mu} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H} \mu) G.$$

Thus  $\{G, H\}$  is an auxiliary integral for F if  $\ell_{\theta}\ell_{\mu} = \ell_{\{\mu, H\}} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H} \mu$  (the "iff" condition means the difference annihilates G), which can be written as

$$\mu \in \operatorname{Ker}[(\ell_{\theta} + \ell_{\operatorname{ad}_{H}} - \operatorname{Hess}_{H}) \circ \ell].$$

Such a pair  $\theta \in \text{sym}^*(F) = \text{Sym}(F)/\text{Sym}_0(F)$ ,  $H \in \text{Sym}_{\theta}(F)$  determines the action of the second component

$$\operatorname{ad}_H : \operatorname{Aux}_{\mu}(F) \to \operatorname{Aux}_{\mu}(F).$$

Also since

$$\ell_{\{\mu,H\}}G = \partial_G\{\mu,H\} = \partial_G\partial_H(\mu) - \partial_G\ell_H(\mu) = (\partial_H - \ell_H)\partial_G(\mu) - \partial_{\{G,H\}}\mu - \operatorname{Hess}_H(G,\mu) = -\operatorname{ad}_H\partial_G(\mu) - \operatorname{Hess}_H(\mu,G) - \ell_\mu\{G,H\},$$
we have:

$$\ell_{\mu}\{G,H\} + (\ell_{\{\mu,H\}} - \ell_{\theta}\ell_{\mu} + \operatorname{Hess}_{H}\mu)G = -(\operatorname{ad}_{H} + \ell_{\theta})\ell_{\mu}G.$$

Thus if  $H \in \operatorname{Sym}_{\theta}(F)$ , i.e.  $(\operatorname{ad}_{H} + \ell_{\theta})F = 0$ , and  $\mu \in \operatorname{Ker}[(\operatorname{ad}_{H} + \ell_{\theta}) \circ \ell]$ , i.e.  $(\operatorname{ad}_{H} + \ell_{\theta})\ell_{\mu} = 0$ , then

$$\operatorname{ad}_H : \operatorname{Aux}_{\mu}(F) \to \operatorname{Sym}(F).$$

### 4. Symmetries and compatibility

It has been a common belief that if  $G \in \text{Sym}(F)$ , then the system F = 0, G = 0 is compatible, which forms the base of investigation for auto-model solutions. This is however not always true.

**Example:** Let F, G be two linear diagonal operators with constant coefficients. Then  $\{F, G\} = 0$  (in this case the Jacobi bracket is the standard commutator), so that G is a symmetry of F. However the system F = 0, G = 0 is usually incompatible: for generic F, G of the considered type the only solution will be the trivial zero vector-function.

More complicated non-diagonal operators are possible, but it would be better to consider non-homogeneous linear operators. Then if the coefficients are constant and generic, the linear matrix part commute, but the system F = 0, G = 0 may have no solutions at all.

For instance if we take

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{D}_x^2 - \mathcal{D}_y) & 0 \\ 0 & (\mathcal{D}_x \mathcal{D}_y + 1) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{D}_x \mathcal{D}_y - 1) & 0 \\ 0 & (\mathcal{D}_y^2 - \mathcal{D}_x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $\{F,G\} = 0$ , so that  $G \in \text{Sym}(F)$ , while the system F = 0, G = 0 is not compatible, and moreover its solutions space is empty.

Thus the flow  $u_t = G(u)$  on the equation F = 0 has no fixed points (no auto-model solutions). Here t is an additional variable (x is the base multi-variable for PDEs F = 0 and G = 0), so that  $G \in \text{Sym}(F)$  can be expressed as compatibility of the system

$$F(u) = 0, \quad u_t = G(u),$$

while symmetric solutions correspond to the stationary case  $u_t = 0$ , i.e. compatibility of the system F(u) = 0,  $G(u) = 0^2$ .

However if the non-degeneracy condition assumed in Theorem 5 is satisfied, then auto-model (or invariant) solutions exist in abundance, namely they have the required functional dimension and rank as Hilbert polynomial (or Cartan test [C]) predicts, see [KL<sub>4</sub>].

**Remark.** Symmetric solutions are the stationary points of the evolutionary fields and they are similar to the fixed points of smooth vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which must exist provided the vector field is Morse at infinity. The non-degeneracy condition plays a similar role.

Many examples of auto-model solutions and their generalizations can be found in [BK, Ol, Ov], non-local analogs use the same technique and similar theory [KLV, KK, KKV].

Compatible systems correspond to reductions of PDEs and are sometimes called conditional symmetries by analogy with finite-dimensional integrable systems on one isoenergetic surface [FZ]. But the rigorous result must rely on certain general position property for the symbol of differential operators, otherwise it can turn wrong [KL<sub>2</sub>, KL<sub>3</sub>]. The method based on this approach makes specification of the general idea of differential constraint and is described in [KL<sub>1</sub>].

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ I am grateful to S.Igonin and A.Verbovetsky for an enlightening discussion about the results of [KL<sub>2</sub>, KL<sub>3</sub>] and the symmetry condition.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this note we described the higher-jets calculus corresponding to symmetries and compatible constraints, basing on the Jacobi brackets. Another approach to integrability of vector systems is given by minimal overdetermination and it uses multi-brackets of differential operators

$$\{\cdots\}: \Lambda^{m+1} \operatorname{diff}(m \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{diff}(m \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$$

introduced in [KL<sub>3</sub>], which are governed by the non-commutative Plücker identity.

Following this approach a minimal generalization of symmetry for  $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m) \in \text{diff}(\pi, \pi)$  with  $\pi = m \cdot \mathbf{1}$  is such  $G \in \text{diff}(\pi, \mathbf{1})$  that

$$\{F_1,\ldots,F_m,G\}=\ell_{\theta_1}F_1+\cdots+\ell_{\theta_m}F_m.$$

With certain non-degeneracy assumption [KL<sub>3</sub>] this implies that the overdetermined system F = 0, G = 0 is compatible (formally integrable).

A more advanced algebraic technique would yield another higherjets calculus producing anomaly that manifests in non-vanishing of the expression

$$\{\ell_{F_1}, \cdots, \ell_{F_{m+1}}\} - \ell_{\{F_1, \cdots, F_{m+1}\}}.$$

Implications for vector auxiliary integrals and generalized Lagrange-Charpit method follow the same scheme.

#### References

- [BK] G. W. Bluman, S. Kumei, Symmetries and differential equations, Appl. Math. Sci. 81, Springer, 1989.
- [C] E. Cartan, Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et leurs applications géométriques (French), Actualités Sci. Ind. 994, Hermann, Paris (1945).
- [FZ] W.I. Fushchych, R.Z. Zhdanov, Conditional symmetry and reduction of partial differential equations, Ukrain. Math. J. 44 (1992), 970–982.
- [KKV] P. Kersten, I.S. Krasilschik, A. Verbovetsky, *Hamiltonian operators and*  $\ell^*$ -coverings, J. Geom. and Phys., **50** (2004) 273–302.
- [KLV] I.S. Krasilschik, V.V. Lychagin, A.M. Vinogradov, Geometry of jet spaces and differential equations, Gordon and Breach (1986).
- [KL<sub>1</sub>] B. S. Kruglikov, V. V. Lychagin, A compatibility criterion for systems of PDEs and generalized Lagrange-Charpit method, A.I.P. Conference Proceedings, Global Analysis and Applied Mathematics: International Workshop on Global Analysis, 729, no. 1 (2004), 39–53.
- [KL<sub>2</sub>] B. S. Kruglikov, V. V. Lychagin, Mayer brackets and solvability of PDEs II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358, no.3 (2005), 1077–1103.
- [KL<sub>3</sub>] B.S. Kruglikov, V.V. Lychagin, *Compatibility, multi-brackets and inte-grability of systems of PDEs*, prepr. Univ. Tromsø 2006-49; ArXive: math.DG/0610930.

- [KL<sub>4</sub>] B. S. Kruglikov, V. V. Lychagin, Geometry of Differential equations, in: D. Krupka, D. Saunders, Handbook of Global Analysis (2007); prepr. IHES/M/07/04.
- [KK] I.S. Krasilshchik, P.H.M. Kersten, Symmetries and recursion operators for classical and supersymmetric differential equations, Kluwer (2000).
- [Ol] P. Olver, Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 107, Springer-Verlag, New York (1986).
- [Ov] L. V. Ovsiannikov, *Group analysis of differential equations*, Russian: Nauka, Moscow (1978); Engl. transl.: Academic Press, New York (1982).

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tromsø, Tromsø 90-37, Norway.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|kruglikov@math.uit.no||$